

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF COLORADO

PROCEEDING NO. 24AL-0275E

**IN THE MATTER OF ADVICE LETTER NO. 871 FILED BY BLACK HILLS
COLORADO ELECTRIC, LLC DOING BUSINESS AS BLACK HILLS ENERGY
TO INCREASE BASE RATES FOR RESIDENTIAL AND SMALL COMMERCIAL
CUSTOMER CLASSES, TO BECOME EFFECTIVE JULY 15, 2024**

HEARING EXHIBIT 1101

ANSWER TESTIMONY OF MELISSA WHITED

ON BEHALF OF

WESTERN RESOURCE ADVOCATES AND SIERRA CLUB

October 11, 2024

Table of Contents

I. Summary	4
II. Policy Context.....	6
III. Overview of Sierra Club/WRA Residential Heat Pump Rate Proposal	10
IV. Rate Design Methodology for Proposed Heat Pump Rates	15
V. Impacts of Proposed Rate Design	17
VI. Data Collection and Reporting.....	19
VII. Conclusion	21

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Melissa Whited, and my business address is 485 Massachusetts
3 Avenue, Suite 3, Cambridge, MA 02139.

4 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what position?**

5 A. I am a Vice President at Synapse Energy Economics, Inc. (Synapse). Synapse is
6 a research and consulting firm specializing in electricity and gas industry regulation,
7 planning, and analysis.

8 **Q. On whose behalf are you testifying in this proceeding?**

9 A. I am testifying on behalf of Western Resource Advocates and Sierra Club.

10 **Q. What is your preferred form of address?**

11 A. I use she/her pronouns and am comfortable being addressed as Ms. Whited.

12 **Q. Please give a brief description of your professional experience and**
13 **education.**

14 A. I have 13 years of experience in economic research and consulting. At Synapse, I
15 have worked extensively on issues related to utility regulatory models and rate design.
16 I have been an invited speaker in numerous industry conferences, including as a
17 panelist for the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC)
18 Subcommittee on Rate Design at the 2021 Winter Policy Summit and the 2018 Annual
19 Meeting.

20 I hold a Master of Arts in Agricultural and Applied Economics and a Master of Science
21 in Environment and Resources, both from the University of Wisconsin-Madison. My
22 qualifications are listed after the conclusion of my testimony.

1 **Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission and other**
2 **regulatory bodies?**

3 A. Yes. I previously testified before the Commission on rate design issues in
4 Proceeding No. 20AL-0432E and provided testimony support in Proceeding No. 16AL-
5 0048E. In addition, I have testified before the Massachusetts Department of Public
6 Utilities, the Illinois Commerce Commission, the New Hampshire Public Utilities
7 Commission, the Georgia Public Service Commission, the Rhode Island Public Utilities
8 Commission, the Maine Public Utilities Commission, the California Public Utilities
9 Commission, the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission, the Public Service Commission of
10 Utah, the Public Utility Commission of Texas, the Virginia State Corporation
11 Commission, the Newfoundland and Labrador Board of Commissioners of Public
12 Utilities, the Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board, and the Federal Energy Regulatory
13 Commission.

14

15 **I. Summary**

16 **Q. Please summarize your testimony.**

17 A. My testimony responds to the rate design testimony of Black Hills Colorado
18 Electric, LLC (“Black Hills” or “the Company”). In particular, I find that the rates
19 proposed by Black Hills do not comply with § 40-3.2-110(2), C.R.S. or adequately
20 encourage electrification of heating, which is critical to achieving the state’s energy
21 policy goals. I therefore propose two cost-reflective rate designs for residential
22 customers with heat pumps as their primary heating source. Section II provides an
23 overview of the policy context for my proposal. Section III explains my proposed heat

1 pump rates in detail, including the principles and methodology behind the rate designs,
2 the prices themselves, and how the rates reflect Black Hills' cost structure. Section IV
3 analyzes the impacts of my proposed rate on heat pump customers as well as other
4 residential customers. Finally, Section V discusses data collection and reporting related
5 to heat pump customers.

6 **Q. Please summarize your conclusions.**

7 A. My conclusions are as follows:

- 8 • None of Black Hills' proposed residential rates reflect the seasonality of
9 demand-related costs, which are primarily driven by the need to meet
10 customers' summer peak demands. This lack of seasonal differentiation over-
11 allocates costs to heat pump customers during summer months and fails to
12 incentivize beneficial electrification of heating.
- 13 • It is necessary to implement a heat pump rate now to improve the economics
14 of heat pump adoption and enable more customers to take advantage of tax
15 credits and Clean Heat Plan incentives before 2027.
- 16 • My proposed heat pump rates would reduce the operating costs of heat
17 pumps by approximately \$500 per year, which would incentivize more rapid
18 heat pump adoption, thereby helping to achieve the state's decarbonization
19 goals without cross-subsidies from other customers.

20

21 **Q. What are your recommendations?**

22 A. I recommend that the Commission:

- 23 • Direct Black Hills to implement one of my proposed revenue-neutral
24 voluntary heat pump rates.
- 25 • Require Black Hills to collect and report on certain data related to heat pump
26 customers and adoption of heat pump rates. This will enable the efficacy of

1 the rate to be evaluated in the next rate case, and modified subsequently as
2 needed.

3

4 **II. Policy Context**

5 **Q. Please summarize Colorado’s greenhouse gas emissions reduction**
6 **targets and the role of electric and gas utilities in achieving these targets.**

7 A. As described in the testimony of WRA and Sierra Club witness Clare Valentine,
8 Colorado has a statewide goal of 100 percent decarbonization by 2050, with interim
9 targets of a 26 percent reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2025 and 50 percent
10 by 2030 relative to a 2005 baseline.¹ Further, Senate Bill 21-264 requires gas
11 distribution utilities to implement programs to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
12 Pursuant to this bill, gas distribution utilities must develop Commission-approved
13 Clean Heat Plans that meet 2025 and 2030 Clean Heat targets.

14 **Q. What is the role of heating electrification in achieving the state’s**
15 **greenhouse gas emissions reduction goals?**

16 A. It is widely recognized that the electrification of space and water heating will
17 play a key role in achieving the state’s climate objectives. For example, in approving the
18 Clean Heat Plan of Public Service Company of Colorado, the Commission found that
19 beneficial electrification technologies are among the most cost-effective Clean Heat
20 resources.²

21 Because of the important role that heating electrification will play, the General
22 Assembly recently passed Senate Bill 24-214, which requires investor-owned utilities to

¹ § 25-7-102(2)(g), C.R.S.

² Proceeding No. 23A-0392EG, Decision No. C24-0397, ¶121.

1 propose rates for residential customers who utilize a heat pump as their primary
2 heating source by August 1, 2027.³

3 **Q. What are the requirements of a heat pump rate under SB 24-214?**

4 A. Under SB 24-214, a residential heat pump rate must:

- 5 • Be voluntary,
- 6 • Be designed to lower monthly bills for residential heat pump customers if
7 cost-justified, and
- 8 • Avoid cross-subsidies from other customers.

9 **Q. Do the Company's current rate options satisfy the requirements of**
10 **SB 24-214?**

11 A. No. Currently, residential heat pump customers can take service under Rate RS-
12 1 or Rate RS-EV, neither of which were designed for or targeted to heat pump
13 customers. Rate RS-1, the standard residential tariff, is a tiered volumetric rate with
14 higher per kWh charges for consumption above 500 kWh.⁴ Given that customers with
15 heat pumps tend to have higher than average electricity consumption and Rate RS-1
16 penalizes higher consumption (by charging a higher rate), this rate disincentivizes heat
17 pump adoption.

18 Rate RS-EV is a time-of-use (TOU) tariff designed for customers with electric
19 vehicle (EV) charging but is available for all residential customers. This rate includes
20 volumetric charges that are differentiated between off-peak and on-peak periods as well
21 as between summer and non-summer months, with a 3:1 ratio between on-peak and off-

³ § 40-3.2-110(2), C.R.S.

⁴ Black Hills Energy, "Schedule of Rates, Rules and Regulations for Electric Service" (2024)
at 11, <https://www.blackhillsenergy.com/sites/blackhillsenergy.com/files/coe-rates-tariff.pdf>.

1 peak per kWh charges during summer months and a 2:1 ratio between on-peak and off-
2 peak per kWh charges during non-summer months.⁵ Rate RS-EV is a better option for
3 heat pump customers than Rate RS-1, since Rate ES-EV does not penalize higher
4 consumption and since its lower rates in the winter are more favorable for heat pump
5 load, which tends to be concentrated in winter months. However, this rate is only
6 implemented on a pilot basis and does not benefit heat pump customers enough to be
7 considered a heat pump rate. In addition, the Company proposes to replace this rate
8 with a non-seasonal TOU rate.

9 **Q. Do any of the Company's proposed rate options satisfy the requirements**
10 **of SB 24-214?**

11 A. No. None of Black Hills' proposed residential rates are designed to meaningfully
12 lower bills for heat pump customers. The Company's proposed RS-1 modifies the rate to
13 a flat rate, rather than a tiered rate with the same volumetric charge for all
14 consumption levels.⁶ While the proposed rate structure improves upon current Rate RS-
15 1 by eliminating the penalty for higher consumption, it does not account for the
16 seasonality of electricity costs, which are primarily driven by summer peak demands. A
17 truly cost-reflective rate would recover more costs in the summer months to reflect this
18 fact. Because heat pump electricity usage occurs primarily during the winter months, a
19 rate that is not seasonally differentiated over-allocates costs to heat pump customers,
20 under-credits heat pump efficiency during summer months, and fails to incentivize
21 beneficial electrification of heating.

⁵ *Id.* at 17.

⁶ Hrg. Ex. 110, Attachment DNH-10.

1 The Company also proposes to replace its existing residential TOU rate (Rate
2 RS-EV) with a permanent Rate R-TOU. Unlike RS-EV, Black Hills' proposed TOU rate
3 is not seasonally differentiated,⁷ resulting in higher bills for heat pump customers.

4 **Q. SB 24-214 does not require a heat pump rate until 2027. Why should the**
5 **Commission approve a heat pump rate now?**

6 A. Failure to implement a heat pump rate now will lead to slower customer
7 adoption of heat pumps and higher costs for these customers over the long-term.
8 Currently, switching from natural gas to an electric heat pump may not be
9 economically attractive for most Black Hills customers, even after accounting for heat
10 pump incentives offered by the gas utilities⁸ and the heat pump tax credits made
11 available by the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA).⁹ This is due in part to the costs of
12 operating a heat pump, which are generally not addressed by the available incentives
13 and tax credits.

14 Implementing a heat pump rate now would help customers economically justify
15 switching to a heat pump and enable them to take advantage of the IRA tax credits for
16 heat pumps years sooner. Moreover, significant heat pump incentives are available to
17 many Black Hills customers through Public Service Company of Colorado's 2024-2027
18 Clean Heat Plan, but it is not guaranteed that similar incentives will remain available
19 after the plan period. Waiting until 2027 to evaluate a heat pump rate would waste

⁷ Hrg. Ex. 110, Attachment DNH-8.

⁸ Xcel Energy, "Heat Pump Rebates", <https://co.my.xcelenergy.com/s/residential/heating-cooling/heat-pumps>.

⁹ Rewiring America, "25C Residential Energy Efficiency Tax Credit and 25D Residential Clean Energy Tax Credit" (2024), https://assets.ctfassets.net/v4qx5q5o44nj/3FYfJiYMILiXGFghFEUx0D/279f180456183d560d9c68d4de8baa67/factsheet_25C_25D.pdf.

1 valuable time and the opportunity to align the Company's rates with complementary
2 incentive programs available in the next few years.

3 In addition, because Black Hills' system is summer peaking, adding load during
4 the winter months will help utilize the existing grid infrastructure more efficiently. By
5 increasing electricity usage in the winter, heat pumps can help spread out the fixed
6 costs of the utility system over more sales, resulting in lower electricity rates for all
7 customers.

8 The adoption of a residential heat pump rate in the instant proceeding is
9 necessary to ensure progress towards Colorado's ambitious climate and greenhouse gas
10 emissions reduction targets in the near term, while also maximizing benefits for all
11 ratepayers by allowing customers to take advantage of heat pump incentives and
12 putting downward pressure on utility rates through more efficient utilization of grid
13 infrastructure.

14

15 **III. Overview of Sierra Club/WRA Residential Heat Pump Rate Proposal**

16 **Q. What principles did you rely on to guide your proposed rate design?**

17 A. The primary principles guiding my proposed rate design are those enumerated
18 by James Bonbright in his seminal work "Principles of Public Utility Rates," which are
19 widely used in the industry.¹⁰ These principles can be summarized as follows:¹¹

20 1) Sufficiency: rates should yield revenues sufficient to recover utility costs.

¹⁰ James Bonbright. 1960. *Principles of Public Utility Rates*.
<https://www.raonline.org/knowledge-center/principles-of-public-utility-rates/>.

¹¹ Melissa Whited. 2017. The Ratemaking Process. <https://www.synapse-energy.com/sites/default/files/Ratemaking-Fundamentals-FactSheet.pdf>.

1 2) Fairness: rates should fairly apportion costs among different customers and
2 avoid “undue discrimination” in rate relationships.

3 3) Efficiency: rates should provide efficient price signals and discourage
4 wasteful usage.

5 4) Customer acceptability: rates should be relatively stable, predictable,
6 simple, and easily understandable.

7 Aside from these principles, my proposed rates are also designed to help advance
8 public policy goals, namely promoting heat pump adoption while avoiding cross
9 subsidies as stipulated by SB 24-214, and enabling more customers to take advantage
10 of heat pump incentives, such as IRA tax credits and the incentives available through
11 Public Service Company of Colorado’s recently approved Clean Heat Plan.

12 **Q. Please describe your proposed residential heat pump rates.**

13 A. I am proposing two seasonal heat pump rate options (RS-HP and RS-HP-TOU)
14 that are cost-reflective and designed to be revenue neutral with the standard
15 residential rate (RS-1). These rates have volumetric charges that are higher in the
16 summer season to reflect the fact that Black Hills’ system is designed to meet summer
17 peak loads. In addition, the RS-HP-TOU rate has an on-peak period of 4:00 p.m. to 8:00
18 p.m. on non-holiday weekdays during the summer months, which is in alignment with
19 the summer on-peak window proposed by Black Hills for its non-seasonal TOU rate
20 (R-TOU).

21 To develop these rates, I allocated 40 percent of demand- and energy-related
22 costs to all hours, with the remaining 60 percent of demand and energy-related costs
23 allocated to the summer hours to reflect the fact that system costs are primarily driven

1 by usage during summer peak hours. The resulting RS-HP rate has a flat volumetric
2 charge of \$0.28/kWh in the summer and \$0.06/kWh in the winter.

3 For the RS-HP-TOU rate, I set the summer on-peak rate to 1.5 times the
4 summer off-peak rate, to reflect that the system peak is more likely to occur during on-
5 peak hours. I chose this relatively mild on-peak to off-peak price differential in the
6 interest of gradualism and customer acceptability, as the summer rate is already
7 substantially higher than the non-summer rate. The resulting rate for RS-HP-TOU is
8 \$0.39/kWh for the summer on-peak period, \$0.26/kWh for the summer off-peak period,
9 and \$0.06/kWh for all winter hours. **Table MW-1** below summarizes my proposed rate
10 options.

11 **Table MW-1. Summary of Proposed Heat Pump Rates**

	SC/WRA Proposed RS-HP	SC/WRA Proposed RS-HP-TOU
Summer On-Peak	\$0.2838	\$0.3900
Summer Off-Peak	\$0.2838	\$0.2621
Non-Summer On-Peak	\$0.0595	\$0.0595
Non-Summer Off-Peak	\$0.0595	\$0.0595

12

13 **Q. Do you have a primary heat pump rate proposal?**

14 A. Yes. Given Black Hills customers' limited experience with TOU rates to date, my
15 proposed non-TOU seasonal heat pump rate (RS-HP) may be most appropriate and
16 most likely to gain customer uptake in the near-term. However, in the near future, I
17 expect Black Hills' rates to move toward more time-varying approaches because of the
18 greater benefits that TOU rates provide in terms of reducing peak demand, while
19 providing customers with greater control of their bills. Sierra Club and WRA remain

1 interested in parties' input on both of these proposed heat pump rates as this
2 proceeding develops.

3 **Q. How do your proposed heat pump rates align with the rate design**
4 **principles you enumerated above?**

5 A. First, both of my proposed rates meet the principle of revenue sufficiency by
6 being revenue-neutral to the standard residential rate, RS-1. Second, these rates
7 improve fairness by being more cost-reflective than a non-seasonal rate. Specifically,
8 my proposed seasonal rates recognize that system costs are driven primarily by
9 summer peak demands, and therefore allocate more costs to the summer months and
10 fewer costs to the winter months. Customers who use less electricity during the
11 summer months and more during the winter months will therefore pay less on the
12 seasonal rates than on the Company's non-seasonal residential rates. Third, by more
13 accurately reflecting cost causation, the seasonal rate structure encourages customers
14 to make economically efficient decisions regarding heat pumps, which primarily
15 consume electricity during non-summer months and more efficiently cool during
16 summer months. Fourth, my proposed rates are simple to understand, which facilitates
17 customer acceptance.

18 Last, my rates are designed to align with the requirements of SB 24-214 by
19 lowering the electric bills for heat pump customers by approximately \$500 annually,
20 and by avoiding cross-subsidies by being cost-reflective and revenue neutral.

1 **Q. Are your proposed heat pump rates intended to be limited to customers**
2 **who install heat pumps?**

3 A. Yes. I propose that customers who wish to enroll in a heat pump rate be required
4 to attest to having a heat pump as their primary heating source.

5 **Q. Have other jurisdictions used self-attestation as a means to determine**
6 **customer eligibility for technology-specific rates?**

7 A. Yes. Self-attestation is common for determining eligibility for many utility tariffs
8 designed for customers adopting specific technologies. For example, to enroll in
9 Southern California Edison's TOU-D-PRIME tariff, a customer must attest that they
10 have an electric vehicle, battery, or electric heat pump.¹² As another example, Central
11 Maine Power's Seasonal Heat Pump rate requires customers to affirm that they have a
12 heat pump through a web form but does not require verification.¹³

13 **Q. Do you propose any other requirements for enrollment in a heat pump**
14 **rate?**

15 A. Yes. In addition to self-attestation to having an eligible heat pump technology, I
16 propose that customers who leave the rate be ineligible to re-enroll in the rate for 12
17 months. This will prevent customers from enrolling in the rate only for the winter
18 season when the rate is lower than the standard residential rate.

19

¹² Southern California Edison, Rate Options for Clean Energy Technology, Go Electric with a Great Plan (2024), <https://www.sce.com/residential/rates/electric-vehicle-plans>.

¹³ Central Maine Power, NEW Delivery Rate Options (2024), <https://www.cmpco.com/account/understandyourbill/newseasonalheatpumprate>.

1 **IV. Rate Design Methodology for Proposed Heat Pump Rates**

2 **Q. Do your proposed rate designs reflect the Company's cost structure?**

3 A. Yes. Because most variable energy costs are recovered through the Energy Cost
4 Adjustment Rider, the Company's base rates are primarily comprised of demand-
5 related costs.¹⁴ These costs are directly associated with providing the infrastructure
6 needed to ensure that the Company can meet the peak demands of its customers. The
7 Company's System Load Study shows that the highest system peaks have occurred
8 overwhelmingly in the summer (June through September) for the last decade.¹⁵

9 **Figure MW-1. Maximum Monthly System Peak 2014-2023 (MW)**

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
2014	277	285	258	247	300	377	384	361	362	262	285	298
2015	288	294	289	257	248	383	392	381	354	283	293	303
2016	290	299	250	265	277	406	412	395	356	277	287	302
2017	297	288	274	243	293	380	398	363	367	254	283	299
2018	291	279	254	277	332	413	411	366	377	313	291	289
2019	288	277	285	253	279	369	422	408	395	297	291	292
2020	278	273	272	257	320	368	397	401	383	284	266	297
2021	273	296	277	255	275	395	407	401	393	275	267	279
2022	279	296	281	246	317	381	410	402	382	261	279	334
2023	299	294	273	242	278	359	411	398	386	297	271	288

10
11 Because the Company must build its system to meet the maximum peak demand
12 of its customers, it is reasonable to implement a seasonal rate to recover a larger
13 portion of demand-related costs during summer months. Further, the Company has
14 identified the hours of 4:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. as the hours in which peak demand is
15 most likely to occur.¹⁶ These hours align with the on-peak summer period of my
16 proposed rate RS-HP-TOU.

¹⁴ Hrg. Ex. 110, Attachment DNH-7HC.

¹⁵ Hrg. Ex. 110, Attachment DNH-1.

¹⁶ Hrg. Ex. 110, Hyatt Direct Testimony at 48.

1 **Q. Please describe the methodology you used to develop your proposed flat**
2 **seasonal heat pump rate (RS-HP).**

3 A. To develop my proposed seasonal heat pump rate (RS-HP), I used a method for
4 allocating demand- and energy-related costs to each season that is similar to the
5 Average and Excess Method commonly used for cost allocation. This method divides the
6 residential demand- and energy-related revenue requirement into two parts: one part is
7 associated with serving the class's average demand and is seasonally allocated based on
8 energy, while the other component is associated with serving demand in excess of the
9 average and is allocated to the season in which peak demands generally occur.

10 I calculated that the residential class's average hourly demand over the course
11 of the year was 40 percent of the residential class's peak demand. Thus, 40 percent of
12 the energy- and demand-related residential revenue requirement was designed to be
13 collected over all hours of the year in proportion to energy sales, while 60 percent was
14 designed to be collected during the summer months.

15 **Q. What were the results of your seasonal cost analysis?**

16 A. My analysis resulted in a summer volumetric rate of \$0.28/kWh and a non-
17 summer volumetric rate of \$0.06/kWh.

18 **Q. Please describe the methodology you used to develop your proposed**
19 **TOU heat pump rate (RS-HP-TOU).**

20 A. To develop my proposed seasonal TOU heat pump rate (RS-HP-TOU), I began
21 with the analysis described above for the seasonal rate (RS-HP). I then increased the
22 proportion of costs allocated to the on-peak hours of the summer (4:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.)
23 to create an on-peak to off-peak rate differential of approximately 1.5:1. I selected an

1 on-peak to off-peak price differential of 1.5:1 in the interest of gradualism and customer
 2 acceptability, as the summer rate is already substantially higher than the winter rate.
 3 This results in an on-peak summer rate of \$0.39/kWh and an off-peak summer rate of
 4 \$0.26/kWh. All winter hours are priced at \$0.06/kWh.

5
 6 **V. Impacts of Proposed Rate Design**

7 **Q. How would your proposed rates help to promote the adoption of heat**
 8 **pumps?**

9 A. Under my proposed rates, a typical customer that installs a heat pump would
 10 save approximately \$500 per year relative to the Company’s proposed flat rate (RS-1) or
 11 proposed non-seasonal TOU rate (R-TOU). These impacts are shown in the table below.
 12 Notably, these savings are approximately equivalent to the incremental annual
 13 operating cost for a heat pump relative to a gas furnace, for a customer in Pueblo, as
 14 discussed by WRA and Sierra Club witness Clare Valentine.

15 **Table MW-2. Annual Bills for a Heat Pump Customer**

	Black Hills RS-1	Black Hills R-TOU	SC/WRA Proposed RS-HP	SC/WRA Proposed RS-HP-TOU
Summer On-Peak	\$0.1481	\$0.2591	\$0.2838	\$0.3900
Summer Off-Peak	\$0.1481	\$0.1295	\$0.2838	\$0.2621
Non-Summer On-Peak	\$0.1481	\$0.2591	\$0.0595	\$0.0595
Non-Summer Off-Peak	\$0.1481	\$0.1295	\$0.0595	\$0.0595
Annual Heat Pump Customer's Bill	\$2,047	\$2,103	\$1,525	\$1,549
Annual Cost Relative to RS-1		\$56	(\$522)	(\$498)

16

1 **Q. How did you calculate these impacts for a heat pump customer?**

2 A. I modeled the bill impacts using a representative load profile for customers in
3 Teller, El Paso, Fremont, Custer, Pueblo, Crowley, and Otero counties. This load profile
4 was developed by Rewiring America using data from the National Renewable Energy
5 Laboratory's (NREL) residential building database (ResStock) for customers with
6 ducted natural gas heat.¹⁷ Rewiring America then modified the load profile to reflect
7 the adoption of an air source heat pump (ASHP).¹⁸ I then calculated a heat pump
8 customer's electric bill under Black Hills' residential rates and my proposed heat pump
9 rates.

10 **Q. How do your proposed heat pump rate designs impact other, non-**
11 **participating residential customers?**

12 A. Because my proposed rates are revenue-neutral and cost-based, they would not
13 result in cross-subsidies from other customers. Instead, by more accurately reflecting
14 cost causation, my proposed heat pump rates would enhance fairness and reduce cross-
15 subsidies from heat pump customers to customers who use more electricity during
16 summer peak hours. Additionally, because heat pump load is concentrated in winter
17 months, new heat pump load on the system can put downward pressure on rates for all

¹⁷ National Renewable Energy Laboratory. End-Use Load Profiles for the U.S. Building Stock, <https://registry.opendata.aws/nrel-pds-building-stock>. These data were filtered for occupied single-family housing.

¹⁸ The simulated air-source heat pump has performance characteristics similar to a centrally-ducted heat pump with Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio ("SEER") 18, 10 Heating Seasonal Performance Factor. The modeled air-source heat pump uses electric resistance backup, is sized using the Home Energy Rating System methodology, and does not have a setpoint setback. The performance of the heat pump was selected to be similar to heat pumps which would qualify for the Inflation Reduction Act's home energy tax credits in Colorado.

1 residential customers by helping to spread the fixed costs of the grid across a higher
2 volume of energy consumption.

3 **Q. How do your proposed rate designs encourage load flexibility and**
4 **efficient grid utilization for customers with both heat pump and EV charging**
5 **load?**

6 A. By having time-differentiated volumetric charges in the summer, my proposed
7 RS-HP-TOU rate would encourage residential customers with both heat pump and EV
8 charging load to shift load away from summer peak periods. On the other hand, Rate
9 RS-HP, being a flat seasonal rate, would not provide such price signals for customers to
10 shift their EV charging load away from summer peaks. If the Commission approves
11 Rate RS-HP, Black Hills should encourage EV customers on this rate to participate in
12 the Company's Behavioral Charging pilot to ensure that they are incentivized to shift
13 their EV charging load to off-peak hours.

14

15 **VI. Data Collection and Reporting**

16 **Q. Why is it important for the Company to collect data on heat pump**
17 **customers?**

18 A. Customers with heat pumps often have different load profiles compared to other
19 residential customers and generally have higher consumption and demand during
20 winter months when heating need is the greatest. These different electricity
21 consumption patterns mean that heat pump customers have different impacts on Black
22 Hills' system, with potential implications for system planning and cost recovery. While
23 heat pump adoption in the Company's service territory in the next few years is not

1 expected to significantly affect system-wide demand or peak demand, higher levels of
2 heat pump adoption over a longer period can result in substantial increases in winter
3 peak demand. It is important for the Company to understand heat pump customers'
4 load profiles so that the Company can effectively plan for increased winter load and
5 develop future rate options that ensure fair cost recovery.

6 Additionally, when paired with smart thermostats or other demand management
7 strategies, heat pumps can be a flexible and cost-saving resource for the grid. Data on
8 customer load patterns can inform effective program design to encourage load shifting
9 and demand response, which can help lower costs for all ratepayers.

10 **Q. What data should the Company collect on heat pump customers?**

11 A. I recommend that the Company collect and annually report the data below in a
12 filing to the Commission.

- 13 1) Data related to customer acceptance and effectiveness of marketing:
- 14 a) The number of customers who enroll or disenroll in a heat pump rate, by
15 month;
- 16 b) For customers who disenroll in the rate, the reason for disenrolling in a
17 heat pump rate;
- 18 c) For new customers, how the customer learned about the heat pump rate.
- 19 2) Data that will assist Black Hills anticipate future peak demand and load
20 growth from heat pumps:
- 21 a) Hourly energy consumption for residential heat pump customers on a
22 heat pump rate;
- 23 b) Energy consumption by season, on-peak vs off-peak periods for customers
24 enrolled on a heat pump rate;
- 25 c) Coincident and non-coincident peak demand for customers enrolled in a
26 heat pump rate;

1 d) Weather data (heating degree days and cooling degree days) to enable the
2 Company to better forecast heat pump load during summer and winter
3 peaks.

4 Data regarding customer enrollment will assist the Company, the Commission,
5 and other stakeholders understand customer willingness to adopt a heat pump rate and
6 inform potential modifications to the rate in the future. Customer load data will help
7 facilitate planning for future winter load growth, as well as inform cost allocation
8 decisions.

9
10 **VII. Conclusion**

11 **Q. Please summarize your conclusions and recommendations.**

12 A. Black Hills' residential rate options fail to adequately incentivize beneficial
13 electrification of heating. The Company's proposed rates lack seasonal differentiation,
14 which over-allocates costs to heat pump customers, unreasonably increasing the cost of
15 heat pump adoption and slowing Colorado's progress to meeting its climate goals. To
16 address this, Black Hills should implement a heat pump rate now to improve the
17 economics of heat pump adoption and enable more customers to take advantage of tax
18 credits and Clean Heat Plan incentives before 2027.

19 I recommend that the Commission adopt one of my proposed heat pump rates,
20 which would reduce the operating costs of heat pumps by approximately \$500 per year.
21 I also recommend that Black Hills collect and report on certain data related to heat
22 pump customers and adoption of heat pump rates, so that the Company can better plan
23 for and address increases in winter peak demand.

1 **Does this conclude your testimony?**

2 A. Yes.

3



Melissa Whited, Vice President

Synapse Energy Economics | 485 Massachusetts Avenue, Suite 3 | Cambridge, MA 02139 | 617-453-7024
mwhited@synapse-energy.com

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Synapse Energy Economics, Cambridge MA. *Vice President*, April 2023 – Present; *Senior Principal*, May 2022 – April 2023, *Principal Associate*, 2017 – May 2022, *Senior Associate*, 2015 – 2017, *Associate*, 2012 – 2015

Consult and provide analysis of rate design proposals, alternative regulation, and other topics including distributed energy resources and electric vehicles. Develop expert witness testimony in public utility commission proceedings. Author reports on topics at the intersection of utility regulation, customer protection, and environmental impacts.

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics, Madison, WI. *Teaching Assistant – Environmental Economics*, 2011 – 2012

Developed teaching materials and led discussions on cost-benefit analysis, carbon taxes and cap-and-trade programs, management of renewable and non-renewable resources, and other topics.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, Water Division, Madison, WI. *Program and Policy Analyst - Intern*, Summer 2009

Researched water conservation programs nationwide to develop a proposal for Wisconsin's state conservation program. Developed spreadsheet model to calculate avoided costs of water conservation in terms of energy savings and avoided emissions.

Synapse Energy Economics, Cambridge, MA. *Communications Manager*, 2005 – 2008

Developed technical proposals for state and federal agencies, environmental and public interest groups, and businesses. Edited reports on energy efficiency, integrated resource planning, greenhouse gas regulations, renewable resources, and other topics.

EDUCATION

University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI

Master of Arts in Agricultural and Applied Economics, 2012

Certificate in Energy Analysis and Policy

National Science Foundation Fellow

University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI

Master of Science in Environment and Resources, 2010

Certificate in Humans and the Global Environment

Nelson Distinguished Fellowship

Southwestern University, Georgetown, TX

Bachelor of Arts in International Studies, *Magna cum laude*, 2003.

ADDITIONAL SKILLS

- Econometric Modeling – Linear and nonlinear modeling including time-series, panel data, logit, probit, and discrete choice regression analysis
- Nonmarket Valuation Methods for Environmental Goods – Hedonic valuation, travel cost method, and contingent valuation
- Cost-Benefit Analysis
- Input-Output Modeling for Regional Economic Analysis

FELLOWSHIPS AND AWARDS

- Winner, M. Jarvin Emerson Student Paper Competition, Journal of Regional Analysis and Policy, 2010
- Fellowship, National Science Foundation Integrative Graduate Education and Research Traineeship (IGERT), University of Wisconsin – Madison, 2009
- Nelson Distinguished Fellowship, University of Wisconsin – Madison, 2008

PUBLICATIONS

Whited, M., P. Knight, S. Kwok, P. Silva. 2024. *Charting the Wind: Quantifying the Ratepayer, Climate, and Public Health Benefits of Offshore Wind in New England*. Synapse Energy Economics for Sierra Club.

Shenstone-Harris, S., A. Zeng, L. Metz, M. Whited. 2024. *On the Road to Fleet Electrification: A Framework for Estimating Distribution System Impacts of Medium- and Heavy-Duty Vehicle Electrification*. Synapse Energy Economics for Advanced Energy United.

Metz, L., M. Whited, P. Rhodes, E. Carlson. 2023. *Distribution System Investments to Enable Medium- and Heavy-Duty Vehicle Electrification*. Synapse Energy Economics for the Environmental Defense Fund.

Whited, M. 2021. *Implementing PBR with Customer Protections in North Carolina: Docket E-100, Sub 178*. Synapse Energy Economics for the Carolina Utility Customers Association.

Kallay, J., A. Napoleon, J. Hall, B. Havumaki, A. Hopkins, M. Whited, T. Woolf, J. Stevenson, R. Broderick, R. Jeffers, B. Garcia. 2021. *Regulatory Mechanisms to Enable Investments in Electric Utility Resilience*. Synapse Energy Economics for Sandia National Laboratories.

Kallay, J., A. Napoleon, B. Havumaki, J. Hall, C. Odom, A. Hopkins, M. Whited, T. Woolf, M. Chang, R. Broderick, R. Jeffers, B. Garcia. 2021. *Performance Metrics to Evaluate Utility Resilience Investments*. Synapse Energy Economics for Sandia National Laboratories.

Kallay, J., A. Hopkins, A. Napoleon, B. Havumaki, J. Hall, M. Whited, M. Chang., R. Broderick, R. Jeffers, K. Jones, M. DeMenno. 2021. *The Resilience Planning Landscape for Communities and Electric Utilities*. Synapse Energy Economics for Sandia National Laboratories.

Woolf, T., L. Schwartz, B. Havumaki, D. Bhandari, M. Whited. 2021. *Benefit-Cost Analysis for Utility-Facing Grid Modernization Investments: Trends, Challenges, and Considerations*. Prepared by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and Synapse Energy Economics for the Grid Modernization Laboratory Consortium of the U.S. Department of Energy.

Camp, E., B. Havumaki, T. Vitolo, M. Whited. 2020. *Future of Solar PV in the District of Columbia: Feasibility, Projections, and Rate Impacts of the District's Expanded RPS*. Synapse Energy Economics for the District of Columbia Office of the People's Counsel.

National Energy Screening Project. 2020. *National Standard Practice Manual for Benefit-Cost Analysis of Distributed Energy Resources*. E4TheFuture, Synapse Energy Economics, Energy Futures Group, ICF, Pace Energy and Climate Center, Schiller Consulting, Smart Electric Power Alliance.

Whited, M., J. Frost, B. Havumaki. 2020. *Best Practices for Commercial and Industrial EV Rates*. A guide prepared by Synapse Energy Economics for Natural Resources Defense Council.

Knight, P., E. Camp, D. Bhandari, J. Hall, M. Whited, B. Havumaki, A. Allison, N. Peluso, T. Woolf. 2019. *Making Electric Vehicles Work for Utility Customers: A Policy Handbook for Consumer Advocates*. Synapse Energy Economics for the Energy Foundation.

White, D., K. Takahashi, M. Whited, S. Kwok, D. Bhandari. 2019. *Memphis and Tennessee Valley Authority: Risk Analysis of Future TVA Rates for Memphis*. Synapse Energy Economics for Friends of the Earth.

Whited, M., B. Havumaki. 2019. *GD2019 04 M: DC DOEE Comments Responding to Notice of Inquiry*. Synapse Energy Economics for the District of Columbia Department of Energy and Environment.

Whited, Melissa. 2019. *DCG Comments on Technical Conference III Regarding F.C. 1156*. Synapse Energy Economics for the District of Columbia Department of Energy and Environment.

Whited, M., C. Roberto. 2019. *Multi-Year Rate Plans: Core Elements and Case Studies*. Synapse Energy Economics for Maryland PC51 and Case 9618.

Knight, P., E. Camp, C. Odom, E. Malone, M. Whited, J. Hall. 2019. *Exploring Equity in Residential Solar: A preliminary examination of who is installing solar in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts*. Synapse Energy Economics.

Hopkins, A. S., K. Takahashi, D. Glick, M. Whited. 2018. *Decarbonization of Heating Energy Use in California Buildings: Technology, Markets, Impacts, and Policy Solutions*. Synapse Energy Economics for the Natural Resources Defense Council.

Whited, M., J. Kallay, D. Bhandari, B. Havumaki. 2018. *Driving Transportation Electrification Forward in Pennsylvania: Considerations for Effective Transportation Electrification Ratemaking*. Synapse Energy Economics for Natural Resources Defense Council.

Hall, J., J. Kallay, A. Napoleon, K. Takahashi, M. Whited. 2018. *Locational and Temporal Values of Energy Efficiency and other DERs to Transmission and Distribution Systems*. Synapse Energy Economics.

Woolf, T., J. Hall, M. Whited. 2018. *Earnings Adjustment Mechanisms to Support New York REV Goals: Outcome-Based, Program-Based, and Action-Based Options*. Synapse Energy Economics for Advanced Energy Economy Institute.

Whited, M., A. Allison, R. Wilson. 2018. *Driving Transportation Electrification Forward in New York: Considerations for Effective Transportation Electrification Rate Design*. Synapse Energy Economics on behalf of the Natural Resources Defense Council.

Allison, A. and M. Whited. 2018. "Electric Vehicles Still Not Crashing the Grid: Updates from California." Synapse Energy Economics on behalf of the Natural Resources Defense Council.

Fisher, J., M. Whited, T. Woolf, D. Goldberg. 2018. *Utility Investments for Market Transformation: How Utilities Can Help Achieve Energy Policy Goals*. Synapse Energy Economics for Energy Foundation.

Whited, M., T. Woolf. 2018. *Electricity Prices in the Tennessee Valley: Are customers being treated fairly?* Synapse Energy Economics for the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy.

Woolf, T., A. Hopkins, M. Whited, K. Takahashi, A. Napoleon. 2018. *Review of New Brunswick Power's 2018/2019 Rate Case Application*. In the Matter of the New Brunswick Power Corporation and Section 103(1) of the Electricity Act Matter No. 375. Synapse Energy Economics for the New Brunswick Energy and Utilities Board Staff.

Whited, M., T. Vitolo. 2017. Reply comments in District of Columbia Public Service Commission Formal Case No. 1130: *Reply Comments of the Office of the People's Counsel for the District of Columbia Regarding Pepco's Comments on the Office of the People's Counsel's Value of Solar Study*. Synapse Energy Economics. July 24, 2017.

Whited, M., A. Horowitz, T. Vitolo, W. Ong, T. Woolf. 2017. *Distributed Solar in the District of Columbia: Policy Options, Potential, Value of Solar, and Cost-Shifting*. Synapse Energy Economics for the Office of the People's Counsel for the District of Columbia.

Whited, M., E. Malone, T. Vitolo. 2016. *Rate Impacts on Customers of Maryland's Electric Cooperatives: Impacts on SMECO and Choptank Customers*. Synapse Energy Economics for Maryland Public Service Commission.

Woolf, T., M. Whited, P. Knight, T. Vitolo, K. Takahashi. 2016. *Show Me the Numbers: A Framework for Balanced Distributed Solar Policies*. Synapse Energy Economics for Consumers Union.

Whited, M., T. Woolf, J. Daniel. 2016. *Caught in a Fix: The Problem with Fixed Charges for Electricity*. Synapse Energy Economics for Consumers Union.

Lowry, M. N., T. Woolf, M. Whited, M. Makos. 2016. *Performance-Based Regulation in a High Distributed Energy Resources Future*. Pacific Economics Group Research and Synapse Energy Economics for Lawrence Berkley National Laboratory.

Woolf, T., M. Whited, A. Napoleon. 2015-2016. *Comments and Reply Comments in the New York Public Service Commission Case 14-M-0101: Reforming the Energy Vision*. Comments related to Staff's (a) a benefit-costs analysis framework white paper, (b) ratemaking and utility business models white paper, and (c) Distributed System Implementation Plan guide. Synapse Energy Economics on behalf of Natural Resources Defense Council and Pace Energy and Climate Center.

Luckow, P., B. Fagan, S. Fields, M. Whited. 2015. *Technical and Institutional Barriers to the Expansion of Wind and Solar Energy*. Synapse Energy Economics for Citizens' Climate Lobby.

Wilson, R., M. Whited, S. Jackson, B. Biewald, E. A. Stanton. 2015. *Best Practices in Planning for Clean Power Plan Compliance*. Synapse Energy Economics for the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates.

Whited, M., T. Woolf, A. Napoleon. 2015. *Utility Performance Incentive Mechanisms: A Handbook for Regulators*. Synapse Energy Economics for the Western Interstate Energy Board.

Stanton, E. A., S. Jackson, B. Biewald, M. Whited. 2014. *Final Report: Implications of EPA's Proposed "Clean Power Plan."* Synapse Energy Economics for the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates.

Peterson, P., S. Fields, M. Whited. 2014. *Balancing Market Opportunities in the West: How participation in an expanded balancing market could save customers hundreds of millions of dollars*. Synapse Energy Economics for the Western Grid Group.

Woolf, T., M. Whited, E. Malone, T. Vitolo, R. Hornby. 2014. *Benefit-Cost Analysis for Distributed Energy Resources: A Framework for Accounting for All Relevant Costs and Benefits*. Synapse Energy Economics for the Advanced Energy Economy Institute.

Peterson, P., M. Whited, S. Fields. 2014. *Synapse Comments on FAST Proposals in ERCOT*. Synapse Energy Economics for Sierra Club.

Hornby, R., N. Brockway, M. Whited, S. Fields. 2014. *Time-Varying Rates in the District of Columbia*. Synapse Energy Economics for the Office of the People's Counsel for the District of Columbia, submitted to Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia in Formal Case No. 1114.

Peterson, P., M. Whited, S. Fields. 2014. *Demonstrating Resource Adequacy in ERCOT: Revisiting the ERCOT Capacity, Demand and Reserves Forecasts*. Synapse Energy Economics for Sierra Club – Lone Star Chapter.

Stanton, E. A., M. Whited, F. Ackerman. 2014. *Estimating the Cost of Saved Energy in Utility Efficiency Programs*. Synapse Energy Economics for the U.S Environmental Protection Agency.

- Ackerman, F., M. Whited, P. Knight. 2014. "Would banning atrazine benefit farmers?" *International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health* 20 (1): 61–70.
- Ackerman, F., M. Whited, P. Knight. 2013. *Atrazine: Consider the Alternatives*. Synapse Energy Economics for Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC).
- Whited, M., F. Ackerman, S. Jackson. 2013. *Water Constraints on Energy Production: Altering our Current Collision Course*. Synapse Energy Economics for Civil Society Institute.
- Whited, M. 2013. *Water Constraints on Energy Production: Altering our Current Collision Course – Policy Brief*. Synapse Energy Economics for Civil Society Institute.
- Hurley, D., P. Peterson, M. Whited. 2013. *Demand Response as a Power System Resource: Program Designs, Performance, and Lessons Learned in the United States*. Synapse Energy Economics for Regulatory Assistance Project.
- Whited, M., D. White, S. Jackson, P. Knight, E.A. Stanton. 2013. *Declining Markets for Montana Coal*. Synapse Energy Economics for Northern Plains Resource Council.
- Woolf, T., M. Whited, T. Vitolo, K. Takahashi, D. White. 2012. *Indian Point Energy Center Replacement Analysis: A Plan for Replacing the Nuclear Plant with Clean, Sustainable, Energy Resources*. Synapse Energy Economics for National Resources Defense Council and Riverkeeper.
- Whited, M., K. Charipar, G. Brown. *Demand Response Potential in Wisconsin*. Nelson Institute for Environmental Studies, Energy Analysis & Policy Capstone for the Wisconsin Public Service Commission.
- Whited, M. 2010. "Economic Impacts of Irrigation Water Transfers in Uvalde County, Texas." *Journal of Regional Analysis and Policy* 40 (2): 160–170.
- Grabow, M., M. Hahn and M. Whited. 2010. *Valuing Bicycling's Economic and Health Impacts in Wisconsin*. Nelson Institute for Environmental Studies, Center for Sustainability and the Global Environment (SAGE) for State Representative Spencer Black.
- Whited, M., D. Bernhardt, R. Deitchman, C. Fuchsteiner, M. Kirby, M. Krueger, S. Locke, M. Mcmillen, H. Moussavi, T. Robinson, E. Schmitz, Z. Schuster, R. Smail, E. Stone, S. Van Egeren, H. Yoshida, Z. Zopp. 2009. *Implementing the Great Lakes Compact: Wisconsin Conservation and Efficiency Measures Report*. Department of Urban and Regional Planning, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Extension Report 2009-01.
- Whited, M. 2009. *2009 Wisconsin Water Fact Sheet*. Public Service Commission of Wisconsin.
- Whited, M. 2003. *Gender, Water, and Trade*. International Gender and Trade Network Washington, DC.

TESTIMONY AND COMMENTS

Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board (Matter No. M10832): Direct Testimony of Melissa Whited regarding the Town of Mahone Bay Electric Utility's application for approval of amendments to its

schedule of rates and charges for the provision of electric supply and services to its customers and its schedule of rules and regulations. On behalf of Counsel to Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board. January 11, 2023.

Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board (Matter No. M10810): Direct Testimony of Melissa Whited regarding Riverport Electric Light Commission's application for approval of amendments to its schedule of rates and charges for the provision of electric supply and services to its customers and its schedule of rules and regulations. On behalf of Counsel to Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board. December 23, 2022.

Public Utilities Commission of Maine (Docket No. 2022-00152): Direct Testimony of Melissa Whited and Eric Borden regarding Central Maine Power Company's request for rate design increase and changes. On behalf of the Maine Office of the Public Advocate. December 2, 2022.

Illinois Commerce Commission (Docket No. 22-0067): Direct Testimony of Melissa Whited and Ben Havumaki regarding the performance incentive mechanisms and tracking metrics proposed by Commonwealth Edison Company and Ameren Illinois Company. April 6, 2022.

Maryland Public Service Commission (Case No. 9670): Direct testimony of Melissa Whited regarding Delmarva Power and Light's proposed rate designs. On behalf of Maryland Office of People's Counsel. December 2, 2021.

Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board (Matter No. M10176): Direct testimony of Melissa Whited regarding Nova Scotia Power Inc.'s proposed Smart Grid Nova Scotia Solar Garden Rider. On behalf of Counsel to the Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board. August 18, 2021.

Public Service Commission of Florida (Docket No. 20210015-EI): Direct Testimony of Melissa Whited regarding Florida Power and Light's petition for rate increase. On behalf of Vote Solar. June 21, 2021.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission (Proceeding No. 20AL-0432E): Answer testimony of Melissa Whited regarding inclining block rates. On behalf of Energy Outreach Colorado. March 8, 2021.

Maryland Public Service Commission (Case No. 9655): Direct and surrebuttal testimony of Melissa Whited regarding Pepco's proposed multi-year plan and performance incentive mechanisms. On behalf of Maryland Office of People's Counsel. March 3, 2021.

Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board (Matter No. M09777): Direct testimony of Melissa Whited regarding Nova Scotia Power Inc.'s proposed time-varying pricing tariff application. On behalf of Counsel to the Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board. February 24, 2021.

Georgia Public Service Commission (Docket No. 42516): Direct testimony of Melissa Whited and Ben Havumaki regarding Georgia Power's proposal to increase the customer charge for residential customers. On behalf of the Sierra Club. October 17, 2019.

Maine Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. 2018-00171): Direct testimony of Melissa Whited regarding utility incentives for non-wires alternatives. On behalf of Maine Office of the Public Advocate. December 17, 2018.

Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. 4780): Direct testimony of Tim Woolf and Melissa Whited regarding National Grid's Power Sector Transformation proposals. On behalf of the Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities and Carriers. April 28, 2018.

Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. 4770): Direct testimony of Tim Woolf and Melissa Whited regarding National Grid's proposed performance incentive mechanisms, benefit-cost analyses, and request for recovery of costs for its Advanced Metering Functionality study and distributed energy resources enablement investments. On behalf of the Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities and Carriers. April 6, 2018.

Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. 4783): Direct testimony of Tim Woolf and Melissa Whited regarding National Grid's Advanced Metering Functionality Pilot. On behalf of the Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities and Carriers. February 22, 2018.

Virginia State Corporation Commission (Case No. PUR-2017-00044): Direct testimony of Melissa Whited regarding Rappahannock Electric Cooperative's proposed increases to fixed charges for residential customers and small business customers. On behalf of Sierra Club. September 19, 2017.

California Public Utilities Commission (Application 17-01-020, 17-01-021, and 17-01-022): Joint opening testimony with Max Baumhefner and Katherine Stainken on fast charging infrastructure and rates; joint opening testimony with Max Baumhefner and Joel Espino on medium and heavy-duty and fleet charging infrastructure and commercial EV rates; joint opening testimony with Max Baumhefner and Chris King on residential charging infrastructure and rates. Rebuttal testimony on public fast charging rate design, commercial EV rate design, and residential EV rate design. On behalf of Natural Resources Defense Council, the Greenlining Institute, Plug In America, the Coalition of California Utility Employees, Sierra Club, and the Environmental Defense Fund. July 25, August 1, August 7, and September 5, 2017.

New York Public Service Commission (Case 17-E-0238): Direct and rebuttal testimony of Tim Woolf and Melissa Whited regarding Earnings Adjustment Mechanisms proposed by National Grid. On behalf of Advanced Energy Economy Institute. August 25 and September 15, 2017.

Utah Public Service Commission (Docket No. 14-035-114): Direct testimony of Melissa Whited regarding Pacificorp's proposed rates for customers with distributed generation. On behalf of Utah Clean Energy. June 8, 2017.

Texas Public Utilities Commission (SOAH Docket No. 473-17-1764, PUC Docket No. 46449): Cross-rebuttal testimony evaluating Southwestern Electric Power Company's proposed revisions to its Distributed Renewable Generation tariff. On behalf of Sierra Club and Dr. Lawrence Brough. May 19, 2017.

Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities (Docket No. 17-05): Direct and surrebuttal testimony of Tim Woolf and Melissa Whited regarding performance-based regulation, the monthly minimum reliability contribution, storage pilots, and rate design in Eversource's petition for approval of rate increases and a performance-based ratemaking mechanism. On behalf of Sunrun and the Energy Freedom Coalition of America, LLC. April 28, 2017 and May 26, 2017.

Public Utilities Commission of Hawaii (Docket No. 2015-0170): Direct testimony regarding Hawaiian Electric Light Company's proposed performance incentive mechanisms. On behalf of the Division of Consumer Advocacy. April 28, 2017.

Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities (Docket No. 15-155): Joint direct and rebuttal testimony with T. Woolf regarding National Grid's rate design proposal. On behalf of Energy Freedom Coalition of America, LLC. March 18, 2016 and April 28, 2016.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Docket No. EC13-93-000): Affidavit regarding potential market power resulting from the acquisition of Ameren generation by Dynegy. On behalf of Sierra Club. August 16, 2013.

Wisconsin Senate Committee on Clean Energy: Joint testimony with M. Grabow regarding the importance of clean transportation to Wisconsin's public health and economy. February 2010.

TESTIMONY ASSISTANCE

Colorado Public Utilities Commission (Proceeding No. 16AL-0048E): Answer testimony of Tim Woolf regarding Public Service Company of Colorado's rate design proposal. On behalf of Energy Outreach Colorado. June 6, 2016.

Nevada Public Utilities Commission (Docket Nos. 15-07041 and 15-07042): Direct testimony on NV Energy's application for approval of a cost of service study and net metering tariffs. On behalf of The Alliance for Solar Choice. October 27, 2015.

Missouri Public Service Commission (Case No. ER-2014-0370): Direct and surrebuttal testimony on the topic of Kansas City Power and Light's rate design proposal. On behalf of Sierra Club. April 16, 2015 and June 5, 2015.

Wisconsin Public Service Commission (Docket No. 05-UR-107): Direct and surrebuttal testimony of Rick Hornby regarding Wisconsin Electric Power Company rate case. On behalf of The Alliance for Solar Choice. August 28, 2014 and September 22, 2014.

Maine Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. 2013-00519): Direct testimony of Richard Hornby and Martin R. Cohen on GridSolar's smart grid coordinator petition. On behalf of the Maine Office of the Public Advocate. August 28, 2014.

Maine Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. 2013-00168): Direct and surrebuttal testimony of Tim Woolf regarding Central Maine Power's request for an alternative rate plan. December 12, 2013 and March 21, 2014.

Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities (Docket No. 14-04): Comments of Massachusetts Department of Energy Resources on investigation into time varying rates. On behalf of the Massachusetts Department of Energy Resources. March 10, 2014.

State of Nevada, Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (Docket No. 13-07021): Direct testimony of Frank Ackerman regarding the proposed merger of NV Energy, Inc. and MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company. On behalf of the Sierra Club. October 24, 2013.

PRESENTATIONS

Whited, M. 2024. "Benefits of Offshore Wind in New England" Webinar presentation sponsored by Sierra Club, June 24, 2024.

Whited, M. 2021. "Evolution of Net Metering in Hawaii." Presentation to the NARUC Winter Policy Summit. February 4.

Biewald, B., M. Whited. "Evaluating and Shaping the Impacts of EVs on Customers: Tools for Consumer Advocates." Presentation at the NASUCA Mid-Year Meeting, June 19, 2019.

Whited, M. 2019. "Performance Incentive Mechanisms." Presentation to the 2019 Pennsylvania Public Utility Law Conference, Harrisburg, PA. May 31.

Whited, M. 2018. "Smart Non-Residential Rate Design: Designing for the Future." Presentation to the NARUC Annual Meeting, Orlando, FL. November 11.

Whited, M. 2016. "Energy Policy for the Future: Trends and Overview." Presentation to the National Conference of State Legislators' Capitol Forum, Washington, DC, December 8.

Whited, M. 2016. "Ratemaking for the Future: Trends and Considerations." Presentation to the Midwest Governors' Association, St. Paul, MN, July 14.

Whited, M. 2016. "Performance Based Regulation." Presentation to the NARUC Rate Design Subcommittee. September 12.

Whited, M. 2016. "Demand Charges: Impacts and Alternatives (A Skeptic's View)." EUCI 2nd Annual Residential Demand Charges Summit, Phoenix, AZ, June 7.

Whited, M. 2016. "Performance Incentive Mechanisms." Presentation to the National Governors Association, Wisconsin Workshop, Madison WI, March 29.

Whited, M., T. Woolf. 2016. "Caught in a Fix: The Problem with Fixed Charges for Electricity." Webinar presentation sponsored by Consumers Union, February.

Whited, M. 2015. "Performance Incentive Mechanisms." Presentation to the National Governors Association, Learning Lab on New Utility Business Models & the Electricity Market Structures of the Future, Boston, MA, July 28.

Whited, M. 2015. "Rate Design: Options for Addressing NEM Impacts." Presentation to the Utah Net Energy Metering Workgroup, Workshop 4, Salt Lake City, UT, July 8.

Whited, M. 2015. "Performance Incentive Mechanisms." Presentation to the e21 Initiative, St. Paul, MN, May 29.

Whited, M., F. Ackerman. 2013. "Water Constraints on Energy Production: Altering our Current Collision Course." Webinar presentation sponsored by Civil Society Institute, September 12.

Whited, M., G. Brown, K. Charipar. 2011. "Electricity Demand Response Programs and Potential in Wisconsin." Presentation to the Wisconsin Public Service Commission, April.

Whited, M. 2010. "Economic Impact of Irrigation Water Transfers in Uvalde County, Texas." Presentation at the Mid-Continent Regional Science Association's 41st Annual Conference/IMPLAN National User's 8th Biennial Conference in St. Louis, MO, June

Whited, M., M. Grabow, M. Hahn. 2009. "Valuing Bicycling's Economic and Health Impacts in Wisconsin." Presentation before the Governor's Coordinating Council on Bicycling, December.

Whited, M., D. Sheard. 2009. "Water Conservation Initiatives in Wisconsin." Presentation before the Waukesha County Water Conservation Coalition Municipal Water Conservation Subgroup, July.

Resume updated May 2023

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO


PROCEEDING NO. 24AL-0275E

IN THE MATTER OF ADVICE LETTER NO. 871 FILED BY BLACK HILLS
COLORADO ELECTRIC, LLC DOING BUSINESS AS BLACK HILLS ENERGY TO
INCREASE BASE RATES FOR RESIDENTIAL AND SMALL COMMERCIAL
CUSTOMER CLASSES, TO BECOME EFFECTIVE JULY 15, 2024

AFFIDAVIT OF MELISSA WHITED

COMES NOW Melissa Whited, of proper age and duly sworn, and states that the attached Testimony in the above-captioned matter was prepared by her or under her supervision and control and that it is true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief, and would be the same if given orally under oath.

Melissa Whited
Vice President
Synapse Energy Economics
485 Massachusetts Avenue, Suite 3
Cambridge, MA 02139



Signature

10/11/2024

Date



Signature of Counsel